PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D

19 JUL 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	8wolv		FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Notifica Preliminary	ation of Transmittal of International r Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/14661		pplication No. 14661	International filing date (day/month/ye				
Internat C12Q	onal Pa 1 <i>1</i> 68	atent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	nd IPC			
Applicar EVOTI		Al AG et al.					
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. Ti	is inte	ernational preliminary examy and is transmitted to the	nination report has been applicant according to A	prepare rticle 36	d by this In	nternational Preliminary Examining	
2. Th	is REf	PORT consists of a total of	6 sheets, including this	S cover s	heet.		
	Thi bee (se	s report is also accompani en amended and are the ba e Rule 70.16 and Section (ied by ANNEXES, i.e. st asis for this report and/o 607 of the Administrative	neets of	the descrip	tion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority	
Th		nnexes consist of a total of		ວ ແເວແພບ	aons unger	r the PCT).	
	u.	oxoo oonsist of a total of	sheets.			•	
		moves consist of a total of	sheets.				
				ns:			
		rt contains indications rela		os:			
	s repo			ns:			
3. Thi	s repo ⊠	rt contains indications rela Basis of the opinion Priority	ting to the following item				
3. Thi I	s repo	rt contains indications rela Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op	ting to the following item		ntive step a	and industrial applicability	
3. Thi I II	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of optack of unity of invention Reasoned statement unc	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove	elty, inve		and industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability;	
3. Thi I II IV V VI	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove	elty, inve			
3. Thi II III IV V VI	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement undicitations and explanation	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such stater	elty, inve			
3. Thi I II IV V VI	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of optack of unity of invention Reasoned statement unce citations and explanation Certain documents cited	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with it is supporting such states	∍lty, inve regard to ment			
3. Thi II III IV V VI VII	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement undicitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the interest of the contain observations on the series of the contain observations on the contain observations of the contain observations observations of the contain observations obser	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such states ernational application the international applicat	elty, invergard to nent	novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability;	
3. Thi II III IV V VI VII	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement undications and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the interesting and explanation certain defects and explanation certain defects and explanation certain defec	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such states ernational application the international applicat	elty, invergard to nent		nventive step or industrial applicability;	
I. III IV V VI VIII VIII	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement undications and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the interest of the demand	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such states ernational application the international applicat	elty, invergard to nent	pletion of thi	nventive step or industrial applicability;	
3. Thi II III IV V VI VIII VIII ate of sub	s repo	Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the inte	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such states ernational application he international applicat	elty, inverged to ment	pletion of thi	nventive step or industrial applicability;	
3. Thi II III IV V VI VIII VIII ate of sub	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of op Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement unculations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the intercept of the demand address of the international ling authority:	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such states ernational application he international applicat Da 16	elty, inverged to ment ion ite of com	pletion of the	Is report	
3. Thi II III IV V VI VIII VIII ate of sub	s repo	rt contains indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of optex Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncutations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the interest of the demand of the demand address of the International ling authority:	ting to the following item inion with regard to nove der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a s supporting such states ernational application he international applicat Da 16	elty, inverged to ment ion ite of com	pletion of thi	Is report	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14661

I.	Basis	of the	report
		U.	I COOI L

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	1	Description, Pages	
	1	1-37	as originally filed
	C	Claims, Numbers	
	1	-40	as originally filed
	D	rawings, Sheets	
	1.	/12-12/12	as originally filed
2			guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	TI	hese elements were	available or furnished to this Authority in the C.W.
		the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		and of bo	iolication of the international application (under Rule 49 2/b)
		the language of a t Rule 55.2 and/or 5	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 5.3).
3.	Wi	ith regard to any nuc	leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the yexamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the int	ernational application in written form.
		filed together with t	he international application in computer readable form
		turnished subseque	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form
		I he statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure
		The statement that listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.
4.	The	amendments have t	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
[the claims,	Nos.:
[the drawings,	sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14661

5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)
Yes: Claims
No: Claims
Inventive step (IS)
Yes: Claims
1-40
No: Claims
Industrial applicability (IA)
Yes: Claims
1-40

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 90/01564

2. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The subject-matter of the present application does not appear to be disclosed in the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64 (1)-(3) PCT).

Therefore, in view of such prior art the subject-matter of the present application (claims 1-40) has to be regarded as being new (Article 33(2) PCT).

3. Inventive Step (Article 33(3) PCT)

The closest prior art to evaluate the inventiveness of the subject-matter of the present application is D1.

Document **D1** discloses a method for detecting target nucleic acids comprising contacting the target nucleic acids (analyte) with a solid support having different nucleic acids covalently bound thereto (capture oligos). Said capture nucleic acids are complementary to the target sequences, which are preferably RNA sequences. The method further comprises contacting the above complex (analyte-capture oligos on the solid support) with a detectable nucleic acid probe (detection oligos), which is complementary to sequences of the target nucleic acid that are different from the sequences to which the immobilized nucleic acid are complementary.

Both capture and detection oligos are labelled by e.g. fluorescent or chemiluminescent compounds. The solid support is e.g. a bead and the capture oligos bound thereto are biotinylated.

(See Abstract; page 5, line 9 - page 9, line 12; page 16, line 30 - page 17, line 15; page 21, line 6 - page 26, line 21; page 31, line 10 - page 35, line 29; examples, claims and figure 1)

Starting from **D1** the underlying **technical problem** to be solved by the present application is the provision of an alternative method for detecting an analyte in a sample.

The solution provided by the Applicant to solve the above technical problem is a

method defined in D1, wherein the detection of the as detection probes/oligonucleotides (DO) is conducted in the presence of quenching probes and/or the solid support is labelled with a second reporter different from the first reporter labelling the DO.

None of the available prior art documents suggest the above solution to improve the sensitivity of the method of D1.

The method of the present invention shows significant improved sensitivity due to the additional steps of adding quenching probes/oligonucleotides and unspecific labelling of the solid support with a second reporter.

The quenching probes/oligonucleotides bind to free surplus DO (i.e. not binding the analyte) thereby minimizing, at least partially, an emission of the first reporter of said surplus DO. The quenching probes/oligonucleotides therefore advantageously reduce the background signal caused by unbound DO.

The labelling of the solid support with a second reporter different from the first reporter labelling the DO allows to generate a mask, from imaging the sample at an emission wavelength of the second reporter (reference image), and apply this mask to an image of the sample at an emission wavelength of the first reporter (sample image). The recognition of the solid support using a reporter labelling the solid support (second reporter) is advantageous because otherwise contaminations of the sample or sufficiently large aggregates of DO would be recognized erroneously as signal stemming from the first reporter of the DO-analyte-complex.

Therefore, the subject-matter of the present application (claims 1-40) is considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

Industrial Applicability (Article 33(4) PCT) 4.

The subject-matter of the present application (claims 1-40) is susceptible of industrial applicability as defined in Article 33 (4) PCT.

5. **Further Observations**

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/14661 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- 5.1. The Applicant is informed that expressions like "in particular" (claims 4, 6, 12, 13 and 36), "preferably" (claim 26), and "more preferably", "even more preferably" and "most preferably" (claims 8 and 18) have no limiting effect on the scope of the claims, that is to say, the features following any such expressions are to be regarded as entirely optional (see the Guidelines for Preliminary Examination PCT, CIII 4.6).
- 5.2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.